

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

and the second s					
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/632,923	08/04/2003	Takashi Nozu	040447-0251	4950	
22428 7590 08/31/2007 FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP			EXAM	EXAMINER	
SUITE 500			CHEN, WENPENG		
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2624		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/31/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/632,923	NOŻU, TAKASHI
Examiner	Art Unit
Wenpeng Chen	2624

	Wenpeng Chen	2624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on		and the appropriate out	noinn fao hava
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ending a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection.	had mains to the state of filling a being	£	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 			the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		•	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u> 	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See the attachment, please.</u> 		n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		_
13. Other:	11	1/2 C	
	\mathcal{O}°	Wenpeng Chen	8/18/07

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2624

Application/Control Number: 10/632,923

Art Unit: 2624

The arguments offered by Applicant with regard to the rejected claims have been addressed sufficiently in the Examiner's previous actions and the Examiner's position remains unchanged. Further explanations are provided below for the key point presented by the Applicant in the present response.

• Applicants' argument -- The independent claims teach several different parameters that are utilized in expanding an image. Specifically, the independent claims recite a coding parameter, an expanding parameter, and an extracting parameter calculated based on the coding parameter and the expanding parameter, among others. The Office Action asserts that both the coding parameters and the extracting parameters are level numbers. However, it is clear both from the specification of the invention, and the independent claims, that the coding parameters and extracting parameters include separate and distinct parameters. Matsubara does not disclose three separate parameters utilized in image expansion.

Examiner's response -- Matsubara indeed teaches an image expanding apparatus with the three parameters as explained in the last Office Action. Specifically, there are many levels of coded data. Before a particular level data, say the "i=0" level data to be extracted, all the level numbers shall be detected to see whether it is an "i" level. For example, the original image is coded with 0-2 levels. The coding parameter detecting means detecting the level number for each level image data for all levels. The expanding parameter designating means the designated the determined image size. The extracting parameter calculating means then calculating an extracting parameter levels "0" and "1" based on all the level numbers as the coding parameters and the expanding parameters, determined image size.